



KIDS, CARS, AND CIGARETTES: A POLICY OVERVIEW

Dangers to health caused by exposure to secondhand smoke are well documented by scientists and well understood by the general public. Health authorities throughout the world concur that:

- There is no risk-free level of secondhand smoke exposure
- Even low levels of exposure are harmful
- The only effective way to protect people from harm is to eliminate smoking in enclosed spaces. 1,2

Many nations and more than half of all U.S. states have smoke-free workplace laws.^{3,4} Although these laws are popular with the public and largely self-enforcing,^{5,6,7} they fail to protect children in the two settings where they most commonly face exposure—homes and cars. Multiple studies show that kids, cars and cigarettes are a particularly dangerous combination,^{8,9,10,11,12,13} leading many scientists^{14,15,16,17} and policymakers to conclude that regulation of smoking in cars is needed to protect children from harm.

How does exposure to secondhand smoke harm children's health?

Despite progress, tobacco use remains the single most preventable cause of disease, disability and premature death in the U.S. ¹⁸ Nearly 21 percent of adults in the U.S. are smokers ¹⁹ and more than 126 million nonsmokers are regularly exposed to secondhand smoke. ²⁰ About 60 percent of U.S. children are exposed to secondhand smoke and about 25 percent of all U.S. children live with one or more persons who smoke. ²¹ For children, secondhand smoke exposure has serious and costly health implications and is a known cause of:

- Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS)²²
- Ear infections, including fluid build-up, a sign of chronic middle ear disease²³
- More frequent and more severe asthma attacks, which can endanger children's lives²⁴
- Upper and lower respiratory infections.²⁵

Children of smokers get sick more often. ²⁶ They have more bronchitis and pneumonia, more ear infections, and have more operations to put drainage tubes in their ears than children who are not exposed to secondhand smoke. ²⁷ Their lung growth is slowed, resulting in a reduction of lung function. ²⁸ Exposure to secondhand smoke is a risk factor for new cases of asthma in children with no prior symptoms. ²⁹ Because secondhand smoke alters the activity of the central nervous system, it can also damage a child's cognitive functions. ³⁰ Direct medical costs from exposure to secondhand smoke among U.S. children exceed \$700 million per year. ³¹

What is thirdhand smoke and how does it harm children's health?

Thirdhand smoke refers to a cocktail of toxins that builds up over time and clings to skin, hair,



clothing, upholstery, carpet and other surfaces long after cigarettes or cigars are extinguished and the secondhand smoke dissipates. 32,33,34 Thirdhand smoke is dangerous for children—especially infants and young children—because they frequently touch and put their mouths to contaminated surfaces. Children breathe faster than adults and have smaller lung capacity; consequently, they ingest about twice as much dust as adults. 35 Nicotine from thirdhand smoke combines with nitrous acid, a common indoor airpollutant, to form tobacco-specific nitrosamines—TSNAs—one of the most potent carcinogens in tobacco smoke. TSNAs put children's health at risk due to their inhalation of dust and frequent, close contact with contaminated surfaces. 36

Does exposure to secondhand and thirdhand smoke in vehicles endanger children's health?Pollution levels generated by secondhand smoke in vehicles quickly reach dangerously high levels. ^{37,38,39}
Ventilating vehicles fails to protect those inside the vehicle from health risks associated with exposure. In air quality tests, concentrations of secondhand smoke in vehicles have been found to be far greater than in any other micro-environments tested, including smoke-free homes, smokers' homes, smoke-filled bars, and outdoor air—even with a vehicle's windows open and its fan set on high. ^{40,41} Leading medical associations, including the American Academy of Pediatrics, ⁴² and major health advocacy organizations, including the American Lung Association, have concluded that public policies are needed to protect nonsmokers, particularly children and youth, from exposure to tobacco smoke in vehicles.

Does the government have authority to regulate smoking in private vehicles?

Smokers are not recognized as a specially protected group under the U.S. Constitution, and a law that regulates smoking or exposure to secondhand smoke will be found constitutional as long as it is rationally related to a legitimate government purpose. ⁴³ Courts have held that the right to privacy is not absolute: even in private settings, there is no constitutional right to smoke. ⁴⁴ Regulation of conduct in vehicles is common in society (e.g., drinking alcoholic beverages, using seatbelts or booster seats) and is justified by the government's interest in protecting the public's health and safety. The legitimacy of such laws is widely accepted. ^{45,46} The government has authority to regulate smoking in vehicles to protect the health of nonsmokers, particularly children, who are exposed.

Is legislation necessary?

Ultimately, voluntary policies fail to protect all children. Although educational campaigns have informed large sectors of the public about health risks from secondhand smoke exposure, misconceptions persist about health risks from smoking in vehicles, and smoking in cars continues unchecked in most states. 47,48,49 Studies consistently show that smoke-free policies decrease exposure to secondhand smoke by 80 to 90 percent in high exposure settings and can lead to widespread decreases in exposure of up to 40 percent. 50,51 Despite significant reductions in exposure—due largely to smoke-free workplace laws—declines have been smallest among young children and highest among adults. Strong research findings and the inability of children to protect themselves from exposure in vehicles have led both scientists and policymakers to support legislation. 52,53,54

What are the key components of smoke-free vehicle policies?

Since 2006, four U.S. states—Arkansas, California, Louisiana and Maine—and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico have successfully enacted and implemented smoke-free vehicle policies.⁵⁵ Key components include:

- Age of child (ranges from under 6 years and under 60 pounds to under age 18)
- Classification of an offense as primary or secondary enforcement
- Fines and penalties (range from \$25 and waiver for first offense if violator enters a smoking cessation program, to fines of up to \$250, or at least 24 hours of community service).⁵⁶

Does the public support smoke-free vehicle policies?

Although limited data is available, public support for smoke-free vehicle laws, like support for smoke-free workplace laws, is strong—both in the United States and in other countries.^{57,58} The public accepts the public health rationale for such laws, especially the need to protect children from harm.

The Public Health Law Center provides information and technical assistance on issues related to public health, but does not provide legal representation or advice. This fact sheet should not be considered legal advice. For specific legal questions, please consult with an attorney. To view citations for this fact sheet, please view the online version at www. publichealthlawcenter.org. Click on "Publications and Resources" and then "Fact Sheets." To view the Center's policy brief, "Kids, Cars and Cigarettes: Policy Options for Smoke-Free Vehicles," please visit www.publichealthlawcenter.org and click on "Publications and Resources." Financial support for this fact sheet was provided by the American Lung Association of Minnesota. (Last updated November 2010.)

Endnotes

- ¹ Ctrs. for Disease Control and Prevention, Fact Sheet, Secondhand Smoke, CDC, http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data statistics/fact sheets/secondhand smoke/general facts/index.htm (last visited Nov. 10, 2010) [hereinafter CDC Fact Sheet: SHS] (citing U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Sciences, Nat'l Inst. of Envil. Health Sciences, National Toxicology Program, Report on Carcinogens (2005), available at http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/eleventh/profiles/s176toba.pdf).
- ² World Health Org., WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: Guidelines for Implementation (2009) *available at* http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241598224_eng.pdf.
- ³ Am. Lung Ass'n, State Legislated Actions on Tobacco Issues 2009 (2010), available at http://slati.lungusa.org/reports/SLATI
 2009 Final Web.pdf (noting that Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, Utah, Vermont, Washington and Wisconsin have comprehensive smoke-free workplace policies); see also Am. Cancer Society Action Cancer Network, News Releases and Statements. South Dakota 'Yes' Vote on Smoke-Free Law is Victory for Public Health, Nov. 2, 2010, http://www.acscan.org/mediacenter/view/id/350 (last visited November 19, 2010) (noting the addition of South Dakota to the list of states with a comprehensive smoke-free workplace policy).
- ⁴ World Health Org., WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (2003), available at http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2003/9241591013.pdf.
- ⁵ Andrew Hyland et al., Attitudes and Beliefs About Secondhand Smoke and Smoke-Free Policies in Four Countries: Findings from the International Tobacco Control Four Country Survey, 11 NICOTINE & TOBACCO Res. 642 (2009), available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2688606/pdf/ntp063.pdf.
- ⁶ Am. Lung Ass'n of Minn., Reducing Children's Exposure to Secondhand Smoke in Vehicles, The Summary Report (2008) (on file with author), *available at* susan.weisman@wmitchell.edu. In a survey conducted in northeastern Minnesota after implementation of that state's comprehensive smoke-free workplace legislation, two-thirds of respondents reported that they would support smoke-free vehicle regulations to reduce children's exposure to secondhand smoke.
- ⁷ CAL. DEP'T OF PUB. HEALTH, SMOKE-FREE CARS (2008), available at http://www.tobaccofreeca.com/Cars-FAQs.pdf ("No groups registered their opposition. . . . In the 2005 California Tobacco Survey, 92.3% of California adults agreed that smoking should not be allowed inside cars when children are in them.").
- ⁸ Wayne Ott, Neil Klepeis & Paul Switzer, *Air Change Rates of Motor Vehicles and In-Vehicle Pollutant Concentrations from Secondhand Smoke*, 18 J. of Exposure Sci. and Envtl. Epidemiology 312 (2007), *available at* http://tobaccosmoke.org/pub/reprints/Ott_CarStudy.pdf.
- ⁹ Vaughan W. Rees & Gregory N. Connolly, *Measuring Air Quality to Protect Children from Secondhand Smoke in Cars*, 31 Am. J. PREVENTATIVE MED. 363 (2006), *available at* http://dist28.casen.govoffice.com/vertical/Sites/%7BFF9FB4A1-CC0F-49C2-A2A9-B86C0D0B20F8%7D/uploads/%7B8B3CB411-3ACF-4E51-8C55-515FCA1B99D5%7D.PDF.
- See Cal. Envil. Protection Agency, Cal. Air Resources Bd., Secondhand Smoke in Cars Factsheet, available at http://www.arb.ca.gov/toxics/ets/documents/ets_cars.pdf.
- JESSICA KUEHNE, CAMPAIGN FOR TOBACCO-FREE KIDS, SECONDHAND SMOKE, KIDS AND CARS 4 n.5 (2009), available at http://www.tobaccofreekids.org/research/factsheets/pdf/0334.pdf (citing Taryn Sendzik et al., The Hazard of Tobacco Smoke Pollution in Cars: Evidence from an Air Quality Monitoring Study, 13th World Conference on Tobacco or Health, Washington D.C. (July 12-15, 2006), available at http://www.arts.uwaterloo.ca/~gfong/smokefree/Sendzik-Car-WCTOH-2006.pdf).
- 12 Taryn Sendzik et al., An Experimental Investigation of Tobacco Smoke Pollution in Cars, 11 NICOTINE & TOBACCO RES. 627, 627-634

- (2009), available at http://www.otru.org/pdf/special/special mar 2008.pdf.
- ¹³ Jill S. Halterman et al., Do Parents of Urban Children with Persistent Asthma Ban Smoking in Their Homes and Cars? 6 Ambulatory Pediatrics 115 (2006).
- ¹⁴ Kuehne, *supra* note 11, at 4 n.5.
- ¹⁵ Rees, *supra* note 9.
- Richard Edwards, Nick Wilson & Nevil Pierse, *Highly Hazardous Air Quality Associated With Smoking in Cars: New Zealand Pilot Study*, J. of the N.Z. Med. Ass'n, Oct. 27, 2006, *available at* http://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/119-1244/2294/content.pdf.
- Mohamad Sleiman et al., Formation of Carcinogens Indoors by Surface-Mediated Reactions of Nicotine with Nitrous Acid, Leading to Potential Thirdhand Smoke Hazards, 107 Proc. of the Nat'l Acad. of Sci. of the U.S. 6576 (2010), available at http://www.pnas.org/content/107/15/6576.full.pdf+html.
- ¹⁸ Ctrs. for Disease Control and Prevention, Tobacco Use: Targeting the Nation's Leading Killer: At a Glance, 2010 (2010), available at http://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/resources/publications/aag/pdf/2010/tobacco 2010.pdf [hereinafter CDC Tobacco Use].
- CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION, EARLY RELEASE OF SELECTED ESTIMATES BASED ON DATA FROM THE 2008 NATIONAL HEALTH INTERVIEW SURVEY 52 (2008), available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/200812_08.pdf (Figure 8.1. Prevalence of current smoking among adults aged 18 and over: United States, 1997–June 2008).
- ²⁰ CDC Fact Sheet: SHS, *supra* note 1, at n.2.
- ²¹ Id.
- ²² Id.
- ²³ *Id.*
- ²⁴ Envtl. Protection Agency, Fact Sheet: Respiratory Health Effects of Passive Smoking, EPA, http://www.epa.gov/smokefree/pubs/etsfs.html (last visited Nov. 10, 2010).
- ²⁵ CDC Fact Sheet: SHS, *supra* note 1.
- ²⁶ Ctrs. for Disease Control and Prevention, Fact Sheet, *Health Effects of Secondhand Smoke*, CDC, http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/secondhand_smoke/health_effects/index.htm (last visited Nov. 30, 2010) [hereinafter CDC Fact Sheet: HEALTH EFFECTS] (citing U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Sciences, Secondhand Smoke: what it means to you (2006), available at http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/secondhandsmoke/secondhandsmoke.pdf).
- ²⁷ *Id.* at n. 8.
- ²⁸ *Id.*
- ²⁹ Envtl. Protection Agency, *supra* note 24.
- ³⁰ Am. For Nonsmokers' Rights, Health Effects of Secondhand Smoke on Children, at 2, 4 n.7 (citing Kimberly Yolton et al., *Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke and Cognitive Abilities of U.S. Children and Adolescents*, 113 Envtl. Health Persp. 98, 98-103 (2005) available at http://ehp03.niehs.nih.gov/article/fetchObjectAttachment.action?uri=info%3Adoi%2F10.1289%2Fehp.7210&representation=PDF).
- ENVIL. PROTECTION AGENCY, CHILDREN'S ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DISPARITIES: HISPANIC AND LATINO AMERICAN CHILDREN AND SECONDHAND SMOKE (2008), available at http://yosemite.epa.gov/ochp/ochpweb.nsf/content/HD Hispanic Smoke.htm/\$File/HD Hispanic Smoke.pdf (citing E. Kathleen Adams et al., World Health Org., The Costs of Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS): An International Review (1999), available at http://www.who.int/tobacco/media/en/adams.pdf).
- Jonathan P. Winickoff et al., Beliefs About the Health Effects of "Thirdhand" Smoke and Home Smoking Bans, 123 Pediatrics e74 (2009), available at http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/reprint/123/1/e74.pdf.
- Maggie Fox, Even Third-hand Smoke Carries Carcinogens: Study, REUTERS, Feb. 8, 2010, http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE61753920100208.
- 34 Sleiman et al., *supra* note 17.

- ³⁵ *Id*.
- ³⁶ *Id.* at 6579.
- ³⁷ Ott, *supra* note 8.
- ³⁸ Rees, *supra* note 9.
- ³⁹ Cal. Envtl. Protection Agency, *supra* note 10.
- ⁴⁰ Ontario Medical Ass'n, Tobacco Smoke Concentration in Cars (2008), *available at* https://www.oma.org/Resources/Documents/TobaccoSmokeConcentrationsInCars.pdf.
- 41 *Id.* at 1 n.2 (citing Cal. Envtl. Protection Agency, Cal. Air Resources Bd., *Proposed Identification of Environmental Tobacco Smoke as a Toxic Air Contaminant* (2005), *available at* http://www.arb.ca.gov/regact/ets2006/ets2006.htm (last visited 11/24/10)).
- ⁴² Am. Acad. of Pediatrics, *Policy Statement—Tobacco Use: A Pediatric Disease*, 124 Pediatrics 1474 (2009), *available at* http://aappolicy.aappublications.org/cgi/reprint/pediatrics;124/5/1474.pdf.
- ⁴³ Samantha K. Graff, Tobacco Control Legal Consortium, There is No Constitutional Right to Smoke: 2008 2, 6 n. 16 (2008), available at http://publichealthlawcenter.org/sites/default/files/resources/tclc-syn-constitution-2008-0.pdf (noting that when evaluating claims of undue government interference with individual liberties that are *not* specially protected, including smoking, courts usually apply a rational relationship test, evaluating whether the law in question is "rationally related" to a "legitimate" government purpose).
- 44 See U.S. Const. amend. V; U.S. Const. amend. XIV.
- World Health Org., WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic 25, 67 n. 47 (2009), available at http://whqlibdoc.who.int/ publications/2009/9789241563918 eng full.pdf.
- 46 Hyland et al., *supra* note 5.
- Halterman et al., *supra* note 13 (noting that among urban area households with children with asthma and smokers, less than half (49 percent) maintained smoke-free cars, signaling that protecting children in this environment is a public health priority).
- ⁴⁸ Michelle Crozier Kegler, Cam Escoffery & Susan Butler, A Qualitative Study on Establishing and Enforcing Smoking Rules in Family Cars, 10 NICOTINE AND TOBACCO Res. 493 (2008).
- ⁴⁹ Winickoff et al., *supra* note 32.
- ⁵⁰ World Health Org., *supra* note 45.
- ⁵¹ Id. at 25, 67 n. 46 (citing John P. Pierce & Maria E. León, Effectiveness of Smoke-free Policies, 9 The Lancet Oncology 614 (2008)).
- ⁵² Edwards, *supra* note 16.
- ⁵³ Sendzik et al., *supra* note 12.
- 54 Sleiman et al., *supra* note 17.
- 55 See Ark. Code Ann. § 20-27-1903 (2006); Cal. Health & Safety Code § 118948 (2007); La. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 32:300.4 (2006); Me. Rev. Stat. Ann. tit. 22 § 1549 (2008); P.R. Laws Ann. tit. 24 § 892 (2006).
- ⁵⁶ Id.
- ⁵⁷ George Thomson & Nick Wilson, *Public Attitudes to Laws for Smokefree Private Vehicles: A Brief Review*, 18 Tobacco Control 256 (2009), *available at* http://www.ash.org.nz/site_resources/library/Secondhan_smoke/Public_attitudes_to_laws_for_smokefree_private_vehicles.pdf.
- ⁵⁸ Am. Lung Ass'n of Minn., Reducing Children's Exposure to Secondhand Smoke in Vehicles, The Summary Report (2008) (on file with author), *available at* <u>susan.weisman@wmitchell.edu</u>. In a survey conducted in northeastern Minnesota after implementation of that state's comprehensive smoke-free workplace legislation, two-thirds of respondents reported that they would support smoke-free vehicle regulations to reduce children's exposure to secondhand smoke.